



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,632	07/17/2003	Todd C. Adelman	200208962-1	9968

22879 7590 05/17/2007  
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY  
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER
----------

BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2186

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/17/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/621,632	ADELMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Pierre-Michel Bataille	2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. The present Office Action is taken in response to applicant's communication filed March 1, 2007 responding to Non-Final Rejection dated December 06, 2006. Applicant's amendments and/or arguments have been considered with the results that follow..
2. Claims 1-22 are pending in the application under prosecution.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,717,648 (Davis et al) in view of US 2001/52060 (BAO).

With respect to claim 1, 10, and 19, Davis teaches the invention as claimed, an integrated circuit device that comprises: a memory array integrated on a substrate, the

Art Unit: 2186

memory array storing data in encoded form *[(storage area storing encoded equivalent of HITA-D lines encoded by encoders) Col. 12, Lines 45-49; Col. 15, Lines 1-2]*; a decoder integrated on said substance, coupled to the memory array and configured to decode data retrieved from the memory *[(received index addresses to be decoded by master line decoder MWL0 DECODE) Col. 7, Lines 56-67]*; and a cache integrated on said substrate and coupled to the memory array *[cache integrated with the other circuitry on the microprocessor) Col. 6, Lines 6-11]*, wherein the cache is configured to retrieve data stored in the memory array in anticipation of a request for said data *[(cache typically made of arrays of SRAM cells to be accessed at rates faster than accesses of DRAM cells) Col. 1, Lines 23-32; Col. 6, Lines 12-21]*. Davis fails to specifically teach the cache including a read cache and a write cache, the read cache and the write cache being separate entities integrated on the same substrate. However, BAO teaches integrated system having a cache and further suggests: (1) a write operation involving writing to a cache, the cache effectively serves as a buffer in the transfer to main memory; (2) many systems now include write buffers that buffer every write operation where these write buffers can be integrated with a read/write cache or operate independently of a read cache [Col. 1, Lines 56-67] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art and having both teaching before him/her at the time of the invention to have the cache including a read cache and a write cache, the read cache and the write cache being separate entities integrated on the same substrate, as suggests by BAO, because separate read and write buffers would have permitted that every write operation could involve a write to the buffer and the write buffer cache can

then manage the transfer to main memory while the processor is freed to execute parallel operations involving the read buffer.

With respect to claims 2-9, 11-18, and 20-22, the combination of Davis and BAO discloses:

the decoder coupled between the memory array and the cache and the cache storing decoded data (Davis: Fig. 4; Col. 12, Lines 45-49; Col. 7, Lines 56-67; Fig. 4).

the cache coupled between the memory array and the decoder and wherein the cache stores encoded data, the encoded data consisting of error detection codes, error correction codes and encryption codes (Davis: Fig. 4; Col. 12, Lines 45-49; Col. 7, Lines 56-67; Fig. 4)

a selection circuit coupled to the memory array to select a set of one or more memory cells in response to an address value; and a sense circuit coupled to the memory array to sense data stored in the selected set of memory cells, wherein the cache is configured to receive a read operation comprising an address value, and is further configured to provide the address value to the selection circuit if the cache does not have a copy of data stored in the corresponding set of memory cells [Davis: Fig. 3, 11B; Col. 10, Lines 44-46].

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4178. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00A to 4:30P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Pierre-Michel Bataille  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2186